

REMARKS

Claims 1-30 are pending in the present application. In this Response, claims 13-30 have been canceled and claims 31-42 have been added. No new matter has been added.

The undersigned representative would like to thank the Examiner for the indication of allowability of claims 1-12. Claims 13-30 have been canceled in order to expedite the allowance of claims 1-12. However, the undersigned representative reserves the right to file the canceled claims in a continuation application.

Claims 13-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter and because the disclosed invention is inoperative and lacks utility. Claims 13-20 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. These rejections have been rendered moot in view of the cancellation of these claims. Accordingly, the undersigned representative respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejections of claims 13-30.

On page 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner suggests that a claim having the method steps of claim 1 and a preamble reciting "A computer program product stored in a computer readable medium which executed by a computer performs the following method for identifying consent to an electronic delivery information" is allowable. Accordingly, claim 31 has been added to recite the suggested claim. The undersigned representative submits that claim 31 is therefore allowable.

The Examiner also suggests that dependent claims should also be amended to be consistent with claim 31. Accordingly, claims 32-42 have been added, which correspond to dependent claims 2-12, but instead depend from claim 31. Thus, the undersigned representative submits that claims 32-42 are also allowable.